MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN SAVING PROPOSAL TEMPLATE

DIRECTORATE:	Education, Lifelong Learning & Schools
SERVICE AREA:	Schools

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

SAVING PROPOSAL:	2% Reduction on Secondary	Additional Funding	
BUDGET AREA:	Schools		
TOTAL BUDGET FOR THIS AREA:	£1.1m	% OF TOTAL BUDGET IN SAVINGS PROPOSAL:	2%
TOTAL SAVING:	£22k		

PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE SAVING WILL BE ACHIEVED:

In 2019/20 the budget for "Secondary Additional Funding" is circa £1.1m, this is distributed on a formula basis to all secondary schools. The significant drivers for distribution of this funding are pupil numbers and free school meals. This budget was introduced a number of years ago to support our secondary schools and to assist with regards to reducing the number of redundancies [Secondary Schools fund 60% of any redundancy costs]. In 2019/20 the level of formula led funding ranged from £57k in one of our secondary schools to £167k in another. The level of saving required would consequently range from £1.1k to £3.3k based on 2019/20 figures.

2. PUBLIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE PUBLIC:

CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, *LONG-TERM* IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS AND *PREVENTATIVE SERVICES*. RECOGNISING THAT SAVINGS MAY SECURE FUTURE PROVISION, OR MAY BE NEEDED TO SECURE PROVISION IN ANOTHER AREA.

Long-term guidance: Consider the importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to meet long-term needs.

Schools are required under their delegated powers to balance their own individual budgets, consequently they will be required to identify budget savings to cover the £22k. How schools deal with this pressure will vary from school to school.

The Authority has established processes in place to support schools and any issues would be picked up as part of normal process.

Prevention guidance: Consider whether the proposed saving is affecting a preventative area that reduces future burdens and supports well-being.

DOES THE PROPOSAL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO	YES	NO
IMPACT MORE GREATLY ON PEOPLE WITH		
PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS? (PLEASE TICK)		
(AGE, DISABILITY, GENDER REASSIGNMENT, MARRIAGE or		
CIVIL PARTNERSHIP, PREGNANCY AND MATERNITY, RACE,		
RELIGION or BELIEF, SEX, SEXUAL ORIENTATION)	V	

NB* IF YES, PLEASE COMPLETE AN EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) SCREENING. THIS WILL DETERMINE WHETHER A FULL EIA IS NEEDED. FOR FURTHER ADVICE AND GUIDANCE PLEASE SEE THE <u>POLICY PORTAL</u>. SCREENING FORMS AND ANY EIAS WILL NEED TO BE APPENDED TO ALL DECISION REPORTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SAVING.

PLEASE DETAIL ANY CONSULTATION THAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN CONSIDERING THIS PROPOSAL. SUMMARISE ANY FEEDBACK RECEIVED.

CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, INVOLVEMENT.

Involvement guidance: Consider whether you have involved people who have an interest in the service area, including service users and potential service users.

The proposal will be part of the wider public engagement on the 2020/21 Medium Term Financial Plan.

IS FURTHER CONSULTATION REQUIRED BEFORE	YES	NO
THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE IMPLEMENTED? (PLEASE		
TICK) PLEASE SEEK GUIDANCE FROM	N.	
CORPORATE POLICY, WHO CAN ADVISE ON THE	V	
GUNNING PRINCIPLES, IN PLANNING ANY		
CONSULTATION.		

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE PUBLIC IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	٧			

3. ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING COULD IMPACT UPON THE ORGANISATION AND F	UTURE
SERVICE PROVISION:	

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT UPON MEMBERS OF STAFF:

Schools are required under their delegated powers to balance their own individual budgets, consequently they will be required to identify budget savings to cover the £22k. How schools deal with this pressure will vary from school to school. School budgets are typically 80% staff related. This needs to be considered in the context of the level of saving for each school (ranging from £1.1k to £3.3k in the worse case).

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STAFF IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	Managed at school level	
NUMBER OF POSTS IN BUDGET AREA AFFECTED:	и	
NUMBER OF POSTS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SAVING:	и	
PLEASE SPECIFIY HOW THIS WILL BE MANAGED:	HOW MAN	Y POSTS ?
POST(S) ALREADY VACANT:	и	
VOLUNTARY SEVERANCE:	и	
RETIREMENT:	u	
REDEPLOYMENT:	и	
REDUNDANCY:	и	
PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF WHEN THIS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED:		
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER DIRECTORATE, SERVICE AREA OR	YES	NO
TEAM WITHIN THE COUNCIL? (PLEASE TICK)		V
WILL THE PROPOSED SAVING HAVE AN IMPACT ON ANOTHER PUBLIC SECTOR PARTNER, OR	YES	NO
VOLUNTARY SECTOR PARTNER? (PLEASE TICK)		٧
IF YES, PLEASE CONSIDER THE 5 WAYS OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR INTEGRATION. DESCRIBE BELOW:		

Integration guidance: Consider how the proposal will impact on other service areas, or partners, and their ability to meet their objectives.

• THE AREA(S) AFFECTED; AND

• HOW THE PROPOSED SAVING WILL IMPACT

The Authority has established processes in place to support schools and any issues will be picked up as part of normal process.

HAVE ANY OPTIONS BEEN CONSIDERED TO MITIGATE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT? PLEASE PROVIDE DETAILS OF ANY MITIGATION.

IN ADDITION, CONSIDER THE 5 WAY OF WORKING, IN PARTICULAR, COLLABORATION.

Collaboration guidance: Acting in collaboration with any other service or partner to meet objectives.

TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE ABOVE AND THE IMPACT RATING DEFINITIONS, PLEASE INDICATE THE ORGANISATIONAL IMPACT RATING APPLICABLE TO THIS SAVING PROPOSAL (PLEASE TICK):

NIL	MINOR	MODERATE	SIGNIFICANT	CRITICAL
IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT	IMPACT
	٧			

3. LINKS TO POLICY AND CORPORATE OBJECTIVES

DOES THE SAVINGS PROPOSAL LINK TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY AND STATE WHAT THE IMPLICATION MAY BE.

POLICY AREA	WHAT IS THE LINK?	WHAT WILL BE THE IMPACT?
CORPORATE PLAN and WELL-BEING OBJECTIVES (please state which objectives) STATUTORY DUTIES	Objective 1- Improve Education Opportunities for All	Impact managed at school level
WELSH GOVERNMENT GUIDANCE or STRATEGY		

4. RISK(S) AND SENSITIVITIES

YES	NO
	1
	D FUTURE IMPACTS,
CE etc.	
_	YES PREVENTATIVE SERVICE AN CE etc.

PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW HOW THESE RISKS/SENSITIVITIES WILL BE MITIGATED?
NOT ALL RISKS CAN BE MITIGATED. SOME MAY NEED TO BE TOLERATED IN THE CONTEXT OF BUDGET PRESSURES.

5. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

PLEASE USE THIS SECTION TO PROVIDE ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN CAPTURED.

HEAD OF SERVICE: Richard Edmunds

DATE OF COMPLETION: 25th October 2019